Table 1

Colony counts of S. aureus 3160 grown in presence and absence of rhuEPO.

Colony counts are shown when the bacteria are grown in absence of rhuEPO / control, which is marked as 0.5 McFarland, in presence of control and in presence of EPO. The difference of the test and control is statistically significant.

No. of plates	0.5	Test (EPO)	Control	p- value
(n)	McFarland	(30IU/L)		(test vs. control)
6	294.33 ± 130.76	269.83 ± 65.12	205.16 ± 15.68	< 0.0001

Table 2

Colony counts of E. coli 9492 grown in presence and absence of rhuEPO.

Colony counts are shown when the bacteria are grown in absence of rhuEPO / control, which is marked as 0.5 McFarland, in presence of control and in presence of rhuEPO. The difference of the test and control is statistically significant.

		Colony Count			
No. of Plates	0.5	Test (EPO)	Control	p-value	
(n)	McFarland	(30 IU/L)		(test vs. control)	Table 3
6	22.66 ± 15.6	50 ± 17.53	24 ± 5.21	0.0059	Colony

counts of P. aeruginosa 3542 grown in presence and absence of rhuEPO.

Colony counts are shown when the bacteria are grown in absence of rhuEPO / control, which is marked as 0.5 McFarland, in presence of control and in presence of EPO. The difference of the test and control is statistically significant.

No. of Plates	0.5	Test(EPO)	Control	p-value
(n)	McFarland	(30 IU/L)		(test vs. control)
	(0.5 Mc)			
6	208.83 ± 35.9	72.5 ± 15.21	TNTC	<0.05