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**Supplementary Table 1.** Chest computed tomography and epidemiological characteristics of the participants included in the group SARS-CoV-2 RT-q PCR negative performed by the HC-UFPR virology laboratory (probable SARS-CoV-2 infection)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Case | Ground Glass Opacitya | Contact with infected person |  Hospital unit admitted | Serum hs-CRPbmg/L |
| 1 | Yes | No | - | 7.09 |
| 2 | Yes | Not informed | COVID | 9.40 |
| 3 | Yes | Not informed | Intensive care | - |
| 4 | Yes | Not informed | COVID | >16.00 |
| 5 | Yes | No | COVID | 5.72 |
| 6 | Yes | No | Infectious disease | 5.00 |
| 7 | Yes | No | COVID | 12.26 |
| 8 | No | Not informed | - | 3.21 |
| 9 | No | Not informed | COVID | - |
| 10 | Yes | Not informed | - | 8.26 |
| 11 | No | Yes | - | >16.00 |
| 12 | No | Not informed | - | 4.08 |

aChest computed tomography; bhigh-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP)

**Supplementary Table 2.** Cohen’s *Kappa* coefficients and tests agreement for IgM, IgG and Total antibodies

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Kappa* (95% CI) | Agreement |  *p* |
|  |  |  |  |
| MedTest vs. Wondfo | 0.97 (0.92; 1.01) | Perfect | 0.500 |
| EcoTest vs. MedTest | 0.75 (0.63; 0.88) | Good | 0.180 |
| Camtech vs MedTest | 0.73 (0.60; 0.86) | Good | 0.0001 |
| Wonfo vs. EcoTest | 0.75 (0.63; 0.87) | Good | 0.424 |
| Camtech vs EcoTest | 0.61 (0.45; 0.76) | Good | 0.078 |
| Wonfo vs. Camtech | 0.78 (0.66; 0.90) | Good | 0.0005 |